Personality disorder in marriage and partnership – a narrative review

Petra Kasalova [1], Jan Prasko [1,2], Krystof Kantor [1], Marta Zatkova [2], Michaela Holubova [1], Zuzana Sedlackova [3], Milos Slepecky [2], Ales Grambal [1]

1 Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University Palacky Olomouc, University Hospital Olomouc, I. P. Pavlova 6, 77520 Olomouc, Czech Republic

2 Department of Psychology Sciences, Faculty of Social Science and Health Care, Constantine the Philosopher University in Nitra, the Slovak Republic

3 Department of Psychology, Faculty of Arts, Palacky University Olomouc

Correspondence to:	prof. dr. Jan Prasko, Ph.D.
	Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital Olomouc and Faculty
	of Medicine and Dentistry, Palacky University Olomouc, I. P. Pavlova 6,
	77520 Olomouc, the Czech Republic.
	E-MAIL: praskojan@seznam.cz

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Partner conflicts are the most common precipitating factors of decompensation of psychiatric disorders, including personality disorders. Personal characteristics play a fundamental role in both the prediction of marital satisfaction of the individual as well as the satisfaction of the couple as a whole.

METHOD: Narrative Review of the articles, books and book chapters within the period 1956 - 2016 using PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus databases with keywords "personality disorder," "partnership," marital problems," "marital conflicts." Additional references were found using reviews of relevant articles.

RESULTS: It is evident that patients with personality disorders can have problems with meeting the criteria that contribute to the marital satisfaction and, on the other hand, easily fulfill the criteria that are related to the causes of the relationship breakups. People with personality disorders have substantial problems with starting and continuing a relationship with a partner. They have an unintentional ability to create and maintain problematic relationships. The association between the dysfunctional marriage and personality problems of the partners may have the basis in the insufficient understanding of the behavior of one or both partners. People with personality disorder experience numerous misunderstandings, misinterpretations, communicate poorly, and they are more alert to verbal and physical aggression in the interpersonal relations. They do not recognize that the basis of experienced struggles has a source in their intrapersonal processes and their relationship with the world. Persons with certain personality disorders tend to seek and create a pathologically stable partnership. To understand the dynamics of such relationships, examining personality traits first should be essential. Understanding the maladaptive personality patterns in the context of the relationship should be beneficial for both partners.

CONCLUSIONS: Underestimation the influence of a personality disorder on a partner relationship, as well as the stigmatization of these individuals by a counselor, therapist or a counterpart, can significantly impair the chance of creating and maintaining the quality of partnership, or even harming a person iatrogenically.

INTRODUCTION

The issue of relationships in individuals with personality disorders affects both psychiatry and marital counseling. Partner conflicts are the most common precipitating factors of decompensation of psychiatric disorders, including personality disorders (Robins *et al.* 2000, South *et al.* 2008). Only a few studies deal with this topic. Therefore, our knowledge is mainly drawn from clinical or counseling practice, which significantly reduces our objectivity, because the relationships between individuals with personality disorders, who did not seek therapy or counseling, remain in fact unknown. As psychiatrists, psychologists, and counselors naturally remember particularly complicated cases and the significant stigmatization of individuals with personality disorders is in helping professions, their view may be biased. We also do not know the proportion of people with personality disorders who have partner problems.

Personal characteristics play a fundamental role in both the prediction of marital satisfaction of the individual as well as the satisfaction of the couple as a whole. The assumption that maladaptive personality background is linked to the dysfunctional marriage is not a new concept. Seventy-six years ago, Terman *et al.* (1938) found that certain personality traits predispose people to have problems and dissatisfaction in their relationships. Later, Karney & Bradbury (1995) proposed a model that describes, how the vulnerability of one or both of the partners and their personality traits, affect their marriage. Interest in research on the connection of the marital satisfaction and personality traits of the partners has been re-emerging over the last 20 years. Individuals with personality disorders experience serious problems in interpersonal relationships. Their rigidity, difficulty to change the environment and low motivation to change in general cause difficulties in adaptation to the social situations they experience (Chen *et al.* 2004, Johnson *et al.* 2004, Pagano *et al.* 2004).

A series of researches studying individuals with personality pathology indicate that they often experience various psychological, social and work problems too (American Psychiatric Association 2000). Marriage satisfaction represents the subjective evaluation of the quality of a marital relationship. Marriage satisfaction is not stable and unchangeable characteristic, more likely represents susceptible to changes in the personality of the partners. It is also influenced by variables in the external environment (stress factors, parenting, etc.). Hess (2008) mentions that marital support, communication, individual personality characteristics, and factors related to parenthood are important factors of marital satisfaction. Mature personality and absence of personality pathology are necessary conditions for marital satisfaction and functional family (Kotekova *et al.* 1998).

According to transcultural research, marital satisfaction is influenced by the presence of 4 factors: sociability, reliability, ability to agree and compromises, and reciprocity (Buss & Shackelford 1997, Hess 2008, Humbad *et al.* 2010). Contrary, the most common causes of a breakup of relationship include low ability to solve problems, accented personality traits or disorder, family conflicts, infertility, partner abuse, and infidelity (McNulty & Widman 2013). Given the definition of Personality Disorder in Diagnostic Criteria (ICD-10 1996, APA 2013), it is evident that patients with personality disorders can have problems with meeting the criteria that contribute to the marital satisfaction and, on the other hand, easily fulfill the criteria that are related to the causes of the relationship breakups. They have an unintentional ability to create and maintain problematic relationships.

METHOD

Narrative Review of the articles, books and book chapters within the period 1956 - 2016 using PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus databases with the principal words "personality disorder," "partnership," marital problems," "marital conflicts." Additional references were found using reviews of relevant articles. The search was completed by repeated use of the words in different combinations without language and time constraints. The articles were collected, organized by their importance, and key articles itemized in reference lists were investigated.

PREVALENCE OF PERSONALITY DISORDERS

The prevalence of personality disorders and their types is very variable, depending strongly on the diagnostic criteria, which tend to differ greatly across different classification systems. The lifetime prevalence was found to be between 9-15% (Weissman *et al.* 1993, Samuels *et al.* 2002, Grant *et al.* 2004). The proportion of individuals with personality disorder in general outpatient care is estimated to be between 20 and 30% (Norton 1992). 30-50% of outpatient psychiatric patients suffer from a personality disorder (Koenigsberg *et al.* 1985), and about 15% of hospitalized patients are admitted to the psychiatric facilities with problems primarily related to personality disorder. Almost a half of the remaining hospitalized patients have a comorbid personality disorder (Loranger 1990, Praško *et al.* 2005, Vyskočilová *et al.* 2011).

SIGNS OF THE PERSONAL DISORDERS

People with personality disorders differ from general population in behavior (which is a source of interpersonal problems), maladaptive cognitive processes (maladaptive and rigid deep attitudes toward themselves and the others, selection of information congruent with patient's dysfunctional attitudes and disqualification of the contradictory information, distorted understanding of reality based on the attitudes) and emotional reactions (excessive and long-lasting affects, impulsivity or diminished affectivity on the contrary) (APA 2013). These patterns are stable and pervasive, manifested regardless of the context of the situation, and they are usually congruent with internal settings (Fonagy & Luyten 2012). Logically, people with personality disturbances have significant difficulties in establishing and maintaining relationships (APA 2013).

PERSONAL PATHOLOGY AND PARTNER´S RELATIONSHIP

The link between dysfunctional marriage and the personality pathology of partners can be caused by one or both partners having insufficient insight into their own behavior. Problems in marital functioning result from this. In particular, the partners tend to have insufficient insight into the cause of the negative emotions in the couple's cohabitation. Most commonly, an individual with personality pathology causes the feelings of anger, irritation, fear or helplessness in his/her partner, increasing the distress in the couple's relation. People with personality disorder experience a lot of misunderstandings, misinterpretations, and that lead to miscommunication a predisposition to verbal and physical aggression in interpersonal relationships (South *et al.* 2003). As a result, they behave in a way that is irritating their partners, misinterpret the partner's behavior (in a negative way) and then feel threatened. People with pathological personality traits are usually dissatisfied throughout all their married life. They do not understand the reasons for these feelings they cannot recognize that the conflicts they experience root from their own internal processes and relations to the world (South *et al.* 2003).

Research by Oltmann *et al.* (2002) demonstrated the relationship between personality pathology and satisfaction in partner´s life in a population of college students. The authors found that students with higher scores of paranoid, schizoid, schizotypal, borderline, and avoidant features, also scored higher in the range of social functioning problems. Partnership issues perceived by their partners were mainly related to the schizoid, schizotypal, and obsessive-compulsive features of the primary group. Research by Daley *et al.* (2000) clearly shows that individuals who described relationship problems lasting for 4 or more years (low quality of the relationship, chronic stress, partner dissatisfaction) were more likely to have features of DSM-IV definition of personality disorders.

More severe forms of partner conflicts, especially violence, appear in connection with personality disorders, particularly antisocial and borderline personality disorders in men. (Dutton 1995; Edwards *et al.* 2003; Holtzworth-Munroe 2000; Holtzworth-Munroe *et al.* 2000; Holtzworth-Munroe & Stuart 1994; Tweed & Dutton 1998). In the last study, the authors showed that a higher degree of personality pathology positively correlates with lower satisfaction in partnership and also a greater level of aggression. Furthermore, a higher standard of pathology in one partner is associated with higher rates of verbal aggression that the other partner admits. At the same time, a higher level of dependence on their partners results in higher satisfaction in the partners with these personality disorders. The research of Tweed & Dunton (1998) has revealed two types of batterers. One group demonstrates suppressed physiological responding during conflicts with their wives and tends to use violence in nonintimate relationships and the second group manifests violence in the intimate relationships only and reports dysphoria. The first group is named as instrumental and shows an Antisocial - Narcissist -Aggressive - traits and reports more severe physical violence. The second- "impulsive" group shows a mixed profile with Passive- Aggressive, Borderline and Avoidant elevations on the MCMI-II (Million Clinical Multiaxial Inventory) (Tweed & Dunton 1998).

Verreault *et al.* (2013) examined the impact of immature defense mechanisms on marriage satisfaction. Immature defensive mechanisms (projective identification, fission, denial, projection, and displacement) predicted marital dissatisfaction and influenced pair adaptation. The high occurrence of these defense mechanisms in one partner predicted low satisfaction in coupling together with the other partner. Also, people who scored highly in the immature defense mechanisms according to the Inventory of Personality Organization (Kernberg & Clarkin 1995), described the subjectively low satisfaction in living together. Increased occurrence of defensive mechanisms can also have diagnostic value and help to predict and understand destructive patterns of behavior occurring in couples where one of the individuals suffers from a personality disorder (Bouchard & Sabourin 2009). Women who describe difficulties in understanding oneself/others and relying too much on immature defense mechanisms such as projection and projective identification feel more negative emotions towards their partners. Immediate defensive mechanisms of (1) the splitting type (either I am bad, or he/she is bad); (2) the projective identification (the induction of the negative behavior of the partner the individual is afraid of) and (3) the denial (distortion of reality when a segment of subjective experience or the outside world is not integrated with the rest of the experience) contrib-

ute to negative emotions towards the partner, pressure, and ineffective way to solve everyday problems in the couple. Splitting, as well as self-image lability, is therefore related to disorganization and low viability of the relationship (for example, it can be manifested by another labeling of oneself or the other as the culprit of all problems). In particular, changing mental representations of one's self and partner lead to repeated negative experiences and tensions of unpredictability (Johnson *et al.* 2004).

It is interesting that the reality testing (the ability to distinguish real from expectations and fantasies) affects marriage satisfaction, but only in men (Watson *et al.* 2008). Insufficient testing of reality can lead to psychotic manifestations (Whisman & Schonbrun 2009). It is not clear why reality testing in men´s lives plays a bigger role than in women's. When the various aspects of the personality organization and their influence on the satisfaction in pairs were examined, immature defense mechanisms played the most important role, both in men and women (Lenzenweger *et al.* 2001). The two-factor problem model in a relationship affects the impact of both immature defense mechanisms and the influence of identity diffusion (ambiguity about own motives, goals, preferences, and boundaries) on the perception of individuals in the relationship. These two aspects of the personality are closely related. Identity diffusion problems result in rapid changes and make it difficult to manage the idealization and devaluation of a partner. These images are kept in mind by the role of immature defense mechanisms. Moreover, the repeated use of immature defense mechanisms increases the identity diffusion (Lenzenweger *et al.* 1997).

Also, the high degree of negative affectivity of the partner, disturbs the level of partner's satisfaction, both in men and women. Research by Robins *et al.* (2000) shows that the women satisfaction in the relationship was especially influenced by the low level of negative emotionality in a partner, and the high level of his positive emotions which is manifested by his/her self-control. For men, only the low incidence of the adverse emotionality of their woman partners has a significant effect on their satisfaction in the relationship (Robins *et al.* 2000). Research on a sample of 1805 married couples found that marital problems were related to externalized psychopathology in particular, with a higher incidence of negative emotionality and with a lower rate of positive emotionality in pairs (Humbad *et al.* 2010).

Individuals with certain personality disorders tend to seek out and create pathologically stable pairs (Kratochvíl 2000, Klimes 2005). For example, in a couple with a narcissistic person, a dependent personality partner is especially functional (Klimes 2005). Dependent personality tends to attach extremely to the narcissistic partner. Dependent individuals turn the anger against themselves, allow a partner to cross their borders and have a problem to say no. They need to have a partner always on his/her side; they are unable

to separate from him/her (submissive dependent). On the contrary, a person with narcissistic features can escape from the relationship, but he/she is also very dependent on the relationship (Robins *et al.* 2002). Dominance makes it possible to ensure the closeness of the partner, his/her permanent presence, and connection. The individual automatically expects the partner to meet his/her own needs (Young *et al.* 2003). Sometimes he/she even chooses not a very attractive partner (Klimes 2005). It can be characterized by the dominant aggressiveness that allows a partner to be available. Another, though not so common significant pathological stability, develops in couples of individuals with histrionic features and persons with obsessional or schizoid features. The histrionic partner gets engaged in the relationship, on the contrary, an obsessional personality seeks peace and order (Kratochvíl 2000). A schizoid individual remains relatively indifferent to partner activation. Paradoxically, this raises the need to "provoke a partner" to get what the partner cannot give him/her without a grudge (Klimes 2005).

The lack of self-knowledge, both at the cognitive, emotional level and at the degree of reflection and management of one's behavior, is considered to be the source of the "misfortune" experienced by people with a personality disorder (South *et al.* 2003). Therefore, to understand the dynamics of the relationship, we should analyze the features of the personality first (Robins *et al.* 2002). Better knowledge of oneself is therefore essential in couple therapy (Wilson 2002). Both individual and couple therapy are necessary to encourage partners to look at their behavior, its causes and, in particular, its consequences (Kratochvíl 2000). In couple therapy, if one of the partners suffers from a personality disorder, it is very functional to look at the partner's perspective not only on their own behavior in the cohabitation, but also on self-knowledge, how they interpret the behavior of the other partner, and how the partner perceives them. "Look at yourself just as the neighborhood looks at me" (Norman 1969). Addressing personality pathology and maladaptive personality dynamics in the context of a relationship can be efficient and "curative" for both (Beck *et al.* 2004; Benjamin 2003; Linehan & Dexter-Mazza, 2008).

Schizoid personality disorder

Schizoid personality disorder is characterized by a profound lack of ability to establish relationships with the others in a meaningful way (APA 2013). These people have trouble living in relationships. The withdrawal from social contacts, favoritism of imaginations, loneliness and introspective caution are quite typical. They look cold, odd, enjoy only a little activities (Humbad *et al.* 2010).They tend to pull away from others into their world. They are thoughtful and contemptuous, they create own systems, own logic, and they are often original. They appear outwardly emotionally cold or separated and are indifferent to praise or criticism (Beck *et*

Tab. 1. Detachment and Dependence in relationship (modified according to Klimes 2005)

DETACHED INDIVIDUAL	DEPENDENT INDIVIDUAL
• Increases distance	• Likes to come closer
• In separation feels relieved	• Feels anxiety during separation
• Experiences aggression against partner	• Feels tenderness toward a partner
• Is not jealous or slightly and seldom	• Is jealous of everything around partner
• May feel indifference or unconcern for partner	• Love to partner is experienced intensively
• Feels bounded with partner´s feelings	• Feels lack of love
• Sometimes feels that because of relationship he/she is losing something and if he/she is free he would have some more attractive opportunities	• It seems to him/her that they live next to each other not together
	• Does not have interest in outside world, friends, and activity outside his/her partner
• Does not care about sex with a partner	• Feels attraction to partner
• Has an aversion to foreplay or kissing	• Extends conversations with partner
• Ends and shortens calls or conversation with partner	• Seeks partner
• Tends to look elsewhere	
• Does not know and doubts whether he/she truly loves partner	• He/she does not doubt, feels heady feeling of love
• Considers whether he/she really wants to bind	• Is worried if his/her feelings are not returned

al. 2004). Sometimes they see relationships that are not real, "live beyond their time" (like Don Quiotte de la Mancha). They are perceived as lonely by surroundings. They feel better surrounded by things that people, have a big problem in expressing warm emotions and are "typical impersonation of loners." They have difficulties in establishing relationships, often remain alone, yet can find a partner whose characteristics can attract a person with a schizoid personality (Beck *et al.* 2004). These individuals create a pathologically stable couple with partners with histrionic or emotionally unstable features. Their partners are attracted and fascinated by the lacking emotions (Robins *et al.* 2002). Their relationship is full of troubles: one of the couples is disturbed by the emotional cold of the other, who, on the contrary, needs emotions to live. A histrionic person cannot fulfill these needs with schizoid one.

People with schizoid features also need great freedom (Benjamin 2003). They can experience relationship per se as unpleasant control over themselves. Similarly to paranoid individuals, they are afraid of over-dependence, and greater closeness is experienced as a threat of loss of autonomy (Praško *et al.* 2003). They cannot stand restrictions; they are also indifferent to social norms and conventions. Life with a schizoid individual is emotionally cold, indifferent and detached for the partner; it is hard to fulfill his/her emotional needs. If the partner can fulfill her/his emotional needs elsewhere - with children, pets, friends, or other relationships - the relationship can be stable (Benjamin 2003). Individuals with schizoid personalities usually have a little, if any, close relationship with their children. They keep distance to protect themselves and others from harm (Young *et al.* 2003). In principle, many of these people give up hope of love and caring, tend to establish relationships with objects or animals, do not establish close relationships as if they "enjoy" solitude, try to avoid relationships with others, and thus maintain autonomy, self-sufficiency, and independence (Estergerg *et al.* 2010).

Obsessive-compulsive personality disorder

In a partnership people with an obsessive-compulsive personality tend to have problems with recognizing other people´s opinions, act as guides, do not accept mistakes, and act as if their option was the only right one, discarding other´s options (APA 2013, Widiger *et al.* 2009). This mechanism causes constant tension in the relationship (Benjamin 2003). The detachment and the lack of ability to express their emotions make them boring or annoying for their partners. The partner becomes irritated and forces the individual with obsessive-compulsive personality to express his/her feelings. This stresses obsessive-compulsive partner, resulting in frequent quarrels. Maladaptive stable pairs can be created with a histrionic personality that can mirror what the partner is missing (Beck *et al.* 2004). This is a combination of a histrionic partner, a strong "emotion" in the couple, and the obsessive-compulsive person who seeks for an individual with histrionic features (Klimes 2005, Kratochvíl 2000). The main problem here is a hidden fight for dominance. This type of relationship is not so typical for individuals with obsessive-compulsive personality disorder, although clinically interesting (Robins *et al.* 2002). Most individuals with obsessive-compulsive personality usually live with people without a personality disorder or with persons with dependent personality or with individuals with obsessive-compulsive features (Humbad *et al.* 2010).

Histrionic personality disorder

A person with a history of histrionic personality disorder can be an excellent companion, eloquent, joking with a jingle, often attractive, increasingly concerned with his / her appearance, and he/she can easily enchant potential partner (Benjamin 2003, Widiger *et al.* 2009, APA 2013). These people need to get attention, have fun with their companions, they also make up frivolous stories they have not experienced, they use big gestures (bring a large bunch of flowers, make a picnic on a meadow in the setting sun, etc.), they

use floral attributes. The emotions they experience are often rather superficial and variable, though intense. This, however, does not hurt the potential partner if he cannot recognize it. Men with histrionic personality disorder may behave as the "supermen" to mask the feeling of their weakness (Beck *et al.* 2004). They like to show off, buy spectacular cars or over-care for their looks, exercise excessively. Women are seductively dressed and flirtatious (Kratochvíl 2000). At the same time, women try to strengthen their sense of self-importance by demonstrating dependent behavior (but sometimes also overly independent). The main goal is to gain admiration from men. For both sexes, it is typical that they hate boredom and need to look for new experiences. They strive for excitement and activation, suffer from feelings of emptiness and being lost (Humbad *et al.* 2010). They are unsystematic, often disorderly. In sex, such a person proposes constant changes, tests, and experiments, have the feeling that the other shows little love, seeks pretexts to make the other jealous (Fonagy & Luyten 2012). If he/she is unfaithful, the individual often does not even try to conceal the infidelity. In sexual life, however, they are unsatisfied, suffering from sexual dysfunction, often anorgasmia.

The interpersonal relationships of people with the histrionic personality with partners, friends or coworkers tend to be conflicting (Benjamin 2003). They very often develop interaction games, slander absent and praise the present, etc. They can break their marriage with their foolishness, tend to have pseudologia fantastica when they are describing various unreal events they could have. Sometimes they are interested in other people rather for the sake of admiration than a sincere interest in them (Prasko *et al.* 2003).

These people expect from their partners that they will not be bored because they need new experiences, excitement, and attention (Klimeš 2005). However, in everyday life they easily become bored, and they blame their partner for that. A quarrel can be an excitement for individuals with histrionic personality disorder. Such quarreling tends to be intense, and compromise is considered to be a loss by them. A person with this personality disorder suffers the feeling that he/she has ceased to be relevant or interesting every once in a while, often also seeking pretexts to create jealousy. Deprivation of attention in childhood and unfulfilled reception by loved ones is considered to be a background of this disorder (Prasko *et al.* 2003). They can create a stable couple with a partner who behaves as a tolerant parent, but also with a schizoid or obsessive-compulsive personality partner (see above). Stable partnerships can also be set up with a partner with depressive features (Benjamin 2003). There might be much aggression in the experience of individuals with histrionic features, which is manifested in relationships where they allow the other to be humiliated and crushed, without the relationship being threatened (Polak 2013).

Avoidant (anxious) personality disorder

This type of personality is characterized by feelings of inadequacy and fear of criticism. An individual with an avoidant personality disorder has low self-esteem and believes that he/she is incompetent and unacceptable to others (APA 2013, Benjamin 2003). Such a person is usually lonely or only has a few close people because he/she fears that if he/she gets closer to the others, they recognize his/her inferiority. There are feelings of tension and fear, especially the fear of depreciation by others (Beck *et al.* 2004). The sense of potential danger of rejection and its consequences prevails. This results in the avoidance of social situations even though they are desirous (Praško *et al.* 2003). If the family relationships are healthy, they can feel quite safe. However, they approach new interpersonal encounters with distrust and fear (Benjamin 2003). Such a person does not like to participate in the activities associated with the risk of failure and also activities that are new because he/she fears to fall short of the others (Humbad *et al.* 2010). He/she needs appreciation, empathy, and encouragement. In the partnership, the individuals become dependent, convinced of endless partner qualities. If their partner dies earlier than them, then the individuals with anxious personality features are more frequent threatened by complicated mourning (Látalová *et al.* 2013).

Dependent personality disorder

People with this personality disorder need the care of others, they are submissive and have serious decision-making difficulties (APA 2013). Typically, they permanently and passively rely on other people to make decisions instead of them. They are terrified of rejection, but they have feelings of helplessness and incompetence, so they need constant encouragement (Fonagy & Luyten 2012). They often try to please others, serving them, flattering, admiring them. They are easily abused, allowing others to take responsibility for their important life choices (Humbad *et al.* 2010). These individuals pass their own needs to other people on whom they depend, and they are very much in favor of their wishes. They are still worried that they will be abandoned by a person they have a close relationship with (Beck *et al.* 2004). To get the care and support of others, they are also willing to do things that are unpleasant to them (Benjamin 2003). Their addictive disposition may lead to problems with overeating, alcohol, or drugs and medication. Latent hostility and the intriguing aspect of their dependence were also observed (Malinow 1981). The explanation can be found in the work of Ehrensaf *et al.* (2006) who discovered that dependent partner feels less verbal aggression toward his/her partner, while the other partner states that the partner's addictive and suspicious behavior is unpleasant, or even offensive and tends to be more verbally aggressive.

People with dependent personality disorder usually create dependent relationships. Dependent partner is not aware that his/her partner can be discouraged

Tab. 1. Typical attitudes, needs and behaviors of individuals with personality disorders in a partnership relationship, and frequent partner reactions to them that lead to tension or conflict

Personality disorder	Attitude toward himself	Attitude toward others	Conditional rules	Compensatory behavior / overly developed strategies	Underdeveloped strategies	Partner´s reaction (types of complementary responses)
Paranoiac	I am vulnerable (compensatory: I am strong.)	Other people are wrong.	If I trusted other, they could hurt me. If I am careful, I can defend myself.	Vigilance Incredulity Suspiciousness Search for hidden motifs in others Blaming, attacking, complaining	Peace Confidence Accepting others	Avoiding "interrogations." Concerns from further interrogation Rejection or angry reactions to suspicion or spying Devaluation of distrust Separation
Schizoid	I am inadaptable I am self-sufficient I am a loner	Others have nothing to offer me	When I keep a distance from others, I will do better. If I tried to have relationships, they would not work. Relationships do not bring anything.	Autonomy Disengagement Keeping distance	Intimacy Reciprocity Confession Sharing	Approximation and confinement pressures Enforcing communication and showing interest Trends to dictate
Dissocial	I am vulnerable I am lonely (compensator: I am strong and smart (foxily)	Others could exploit me, abuse.	When I do not act first, they can hurt me. If I can be first, I will be an advantage. The others are fools.	Pugnacity Suppressing others Using others Manipulation Attacking others Stealing etc.	Empathy Reciprocity Social sensitivity	Giving up, serving, dependence Trying to get more sensitive behavior The role of "victims." Attempt to correct, moralize Resignation
Borderline	I am faulty I am helpless I am vulnerable I am wrong	Other people betray me and leave me. People cannot be trusted.	It varies between extreme behavior. If I trust others, they will leave me. If I am addicted to others, I will survive, but eventually, I will be abandoned.	It varies between extreme behavior. He emphasizes his strength attack Resignation Fight with the authorities Requiring truthfulness from others. Confronts.	Stability. Method Resolution Affects control Impulse delay	Rescue Criticism Rejection Angry reactions Resignation Abandonment
Histrionic	I am nothing. (compensator: I am magnificent)	The others will not appreciate me because of myself (compensator: People are here to serve me or to admire me).	If I am not funny, I will not be attractive to others. When I act dramatically, I will get attention from others and their acceptance.	Show off. Dramatization. Self-expression. Inaccuracy. Exaggeration. Provocation. Creating "conspiracy" interacting manipulative games Slander. Suicide threats.	Reciprocity Control Systematics	Moralizing Effort to control Ironizing Deleting emotional reactions and making up Aggressive behavior from verbal to physical attacks. Alternatively, vice versa, be subjected to manipulation and "serving."
Obsessive-compulsive	My world can get out of control	The others may be irresponsible.	If I am not 100% responsible, my world can collapse. When I settle exact rules, It will go well.	Rigidly checks others. Apply rules. High responsibility. Systematization. Moralisation, evaluation of others.	Spontaneity. Impulsiveness Accepting opinion of other.	Forcing to express emotions. Provocation. Rebel against rigid rules or escape from them Abandon responsibility Fight for control
Avoidant	I am unwanted. Incompetent Socially awkward.	Others will refuse me.	If people really knew me, they would have refused me When I pretend, they will accept me.	Social vulnerability. Avoidance. Damping.	Avoids intimacy. Avoids evaluators Absence of assertiveness Confidence Fellowship.	Encouragement, regret, help Underestimation, using of addiction
Dependent	I am helpless.	Other people should take care of me.	If I relied on myself, I would fail. With the others help, I will survive, will be happy, etc. Needs others to provide support and courage.	Looking for help Relies on other people. Cultivates dependent relationships. Adhesion.	Self-sufficiency Mobility	Caring for a partner, dominant behavior Using partner´s dependency Dominance and contempt Rejection of dependent expressions, irony Aggressive behavior or torture. Searching for flirts with freer types
Narcissistic	I am less than the others (manifest compensatory conviction: I am better than others.)	Others are better (manifest compensatory conviction: Others are worse)	If others deal with me normally, it means they think I am worse. I am the original; I need special rules.	Self-emphasis Competitiveness Require special treatment	Sharing Identification with the group	Submission, admiration, serving Fight for own importance Criticism and mockery Punishing success Denial of recklessness and demonstration.

Personality disorder	Attitude toward himself	Attitude toward others	Conditional rules	Compensatory behavior / overly developed strategies	Underdeveloped strategies	Partner´s reaction (types of complementary responses)
Depressive	I am helpless and weak.	The others appreciate only strong and hard working.	If I am efficient, others will love me.	Seeing obstacles and own shortcomings Hard working Self-criticism Comply with others Symbiotic tight relationships	Management criticism Independence Feeling of anger or aggression Claim for own needs Free relationships	Criticism using for self Management, advice Rejection, devaluation Encouragement Refuse to acknowledge merit Rejection for independence
Passively aggressive	I am self-sufficient I am vulnerable to control and interference	Others control, interfere, they are dominant	Control from others is unbearable. I have to do things by myself.	Autonomy Resistance Passivity Surface adaptability Sabotage Changing rules	Intimacy Assertiveness Activity Cooperativity	Trying to propose, agree or compromise Criticism Explosion of anger Resignation

by their demands, creating much frustration in the relationship, and participate in a process that creates conditions for domestic violence (Dutton 1995). The pathologically stable relationship is often formed with people with narcissistic, emotionally unstable, and obsessive-compulsive features. Dependent people need these types of partners as a substitute for the dominant parental figure (Goldman 1956). These partners may like that a dependent partner serves them, fail to set boundaries, they can exploit them, and favor satisfying their own needs in front of their partner´s.

Dependent features, however, may also be associated with the stability of the relationship. However, the person in a relationship with a dependent personality is often exhausted from the low independence of dependent partner (Praško *et al.* 2003). The higher degree of dependence of the partner is thus associated with a lower level of satisfaction of a "healthy" person.

Narcissistic personality disorder

Individuals with narcissistic personality disorder have a grandiose self-esteem, a great need for success, and are very vulnerable to anything that affects their self-confidence and responds to it by intense emotional reaction (APA 2013). The manifestations of grandeur are dominating. They need an excessive attention for their self-esteem. They have strong feelings of their own importance and uniqueness (McNulty & Widman 2014). They are hypersensitive and feel stressed if someone does not take them as original, unusual or charming. They often exaggerate their performances and their uniqueness. Sometimes they excessively dream of their grandeur and their successes (Beck *et al.* 2004). However, self-esteem is very fragile. In criticism or nonrecognition or when compared to others who do better, they feel extremely injured and can suffer from depressive mood or anger. Therefore, they try to avoid such situations (Funder 2001). In relationships they are often keeping a slight distance, trying to create an illusion of their self-sufficiency (Modell 1975). At the same time, they try to use the other to self-empowerment. If failures occur in their lives, they are unable to respond adaptively, because they tend to catathymnicly exagger-

ate of the significance of such events (Fonagy & Luyten 2012). In relationships, they often feel the right to abuse others, pushing for "special" rules that they "deserve" due to their "uniqueness" (Humbad *et al.* 2010).

Nuclear fear in narcissistic men is therefore associated with fragile self-confidence. Concerns about the loss of strength, potency, beauty, and originality make it difficult for them to control the situation and they have excessive demands on their surroundings to confirm their uniqueness (Kernberg 1984, Kernberg 1992). Managing life with narcissistic man is especially about respecting his needs of uniqueness and admiration (Benjamin 2003). They create pathologically stable partnerships particularly with people who allow the narcissistic partner to push their borders (McNulty & Widman 2014). Narcissistic people are interesting; they can impress the other sex. If a partner admires them, does not criticize them or are willing to preserve the relationship, that person can pretend to have a relatively stable partnership. A narcissistic individual also likes such a partner if he/she has the impression of being the best (Edwards *et al.* 2003). He/she feels superior, better, unique; he/she does not have enough empathy. He/she is jealous, and often envies others. It is less tolerated when his/her partner becomes successful. He/she is a person who requires full service, he/she does not like to subdue and does not like to have duties. He/she requires his/her partner to admire, not to criticize. He/she responds critically to criticism. The partner should also take into consideration the uncertainty of a shared future. The narcissistic partner will most likely want to stand out and will require a service to himself/herself to build his/her name, often at the expense of his/her partner (Benjamin 2003).

A pathologically stable couple will most often be formed with a partner with dependent or depressive features or personality disorder that satisfies the needs mentioned above. The problem, however, is the difference in the need for closeness, in the dimension of zooming in-out. Dependent partner usually seeks much closer relationship than narcissistic. This leads to permanent pressure and dissatisfaction on both sides. Dependent partner is unable to realize that he/she can

lower his/her dependence because his/her partner has never been close enough to feel it. Resistance, therefore, is usually expressed only by a narcissistic partner, who often do not know whether he likes his partner or not. This subjective certainty can sometimes develop paradoxically after the break-up because at last he/she will feel that the partner must strive to move away from him/her and thus feel the desire for him/her, the insecurity, and the love. The pathological stability in the partnership, therefore, lies in the fact that, although both are dissatisfied, they are unable to leave. Once the dependent partner begins to surrender, the narcissistic partner will feel relief from the constant pressure. They will come up with attractive forces and show some interest in the other. Typical is the statement: "I was always loved by women I did not like, and I always loved women who did not want me." Narcissistic individuals often long for pure love without a doubt, which they cannot build with their partners (Benjamin 2003). Paradoxically, they are condemned to live with someone they do not want completely; they are angry and dissatisfied with it (McNulty & Widman 2013).

Narcissists also tend to be more unfaithful (McNulty & Widman 2013). Because they are people who tend to use others, they lack empathy for others, and have apparent confidence in their abilities (Campbell *et al.* 2002), they are more likely to establish relationships based on sex, which leads to the fact that they are more easily linked to extramarital sexual relationships. They have more positive attitude towards casual sex (Simpson & Gangestad 1991, McNulty & Widman 2014). People with this personality disorder also have a lower degree of devotion in the relationship, which again leads to a higher probability of infidelity (DeWall *et al.* 2011). According to Buss & Shackelford (1997), narcissistic persons in the questionnaires said they were more likely to be unfaithful to their long-term partner. Infidelity tends to correlate with elevated scores on the so-called sex narcissism scale, which is saturated with four components: sexual demands, sexual exploitation, low sexual empathy, and belief in own sexual performance.

Depressive personality disorder

An essential feature of this group is the constant complaining of the burden of being, the absurdity of their lives, while depressive tuning obscures all life experiences (Praško *et al.* 2003). They are mostly serious, sad individuals, who suffer from the conviction of their alleged incompetence. The usual mood of these people is disheartened, bleak, and gloomy. Self-consciousness is reduced, encouraged by self-deception and self-indulgence, which often appear in communication. The prevailing attitude towards the future and their abilities are pessimistic (Beck *et al.* 2004). These people can easily decompensate themselves into depression. In their tendency to accommodate others, they are usually overloaded and relinquish their claims. For years, they can experience similar repeating depressive moods.

The person with this disorder has low self-esteem and is overly sensitive to criticism and rejection. They have trouble in expressing themselves. Similarly, they cannot experience aggression towards others - it is easier to criticize themselves (Praško *et al.* 2003). These people are also addicted to their loved ones (Benjamin 2003). Their tendency towards "symbiotic" relationships with close ties is typical. They are afraid of independence because they associate it with loneliness. They are often childishly fixed to their parents or similar substitutes in adulthood. With great stamina and characteristic stubbornness, they keep their tendency towards symbiotic relationships with other people. They tend to gain recognition through extraordinary performance.

As an opportunity to fulfill their dependency needs, they quickly establish the relationship, adhere and experience feelings of safety, but at the cost of suppressing all the interfering ambivalent and aggressive feelings. They avoid anything that could lead to separation; they want to advise, teach and lead. Lack of encouragement is perceived as criticism or loss of favor. Initially, a feeling of satisfaction is induced by the partner, and the partner can see his/her admiration, especially if he/she is a narcissistic and histrionically structured. After a while, however, the partner is disillusioned with little autonomy and independence.

Borderline personality disorder

The borderline personality disorder is manifested by significant affective instability and a tendency to act impulsively without considering the consequences (APA 2013, Edwards *et al.* 2003). Changes in mood modify the ability of a person to work well, capacity to see things from a long-term perspective and to plan things for the future. Outbursts of severe anger can lead to violence or "explosive" behavior. They are invoked easily, especially when others their actions criticize or oppose them (ICD-10 1996, Dutton 1995).

In an impulsive type, emotional instability, and insufficient impulse control prevail. Feelings of rage are common, especially if one is criticized by others (Beck *et al.* 2004). In the affliction of anger, they can scream, smash objects, physically attack, or storm away. In the borderline type, the characteristics of emotional instability are present, and also the patient has vague or distorted ideas about himself, his / her goals and internal preferences (including sexual). A borderline patient often develops a hostile relationship with a partner, he/she can punish (torture) him, and at the same time, he/she needs his attention and love (Young *et al.* 2003). They might be extremely urgent, demanding, threatening, helpless, suicidal or self-destructive. As a rule, they are afraid of separation and abandonment (Bouchard *et al.* 2009). The signs of dislike or rejection often correspond with panic, emotional instability, the long-term feeling of unbearable tension, manipulation, anger or impulsivity (Edwards *et al.* 2003). Impulsivity is manifested by self-harm, screaming on the others, aggressive

explosions (including the destruction of things), suicidal behavior, alcohol drinking or running away, etc. These individuals have chronic feelings of emptiness that alternate with sudden changes in affectivity and self-esteem (Beck *et al.* 2004).

Frequently, depersonalization, derealization, deja vu or experiencing transient dissociative phenomena such as loss of memory in stress occurs. Transient psychotic episodes, usually hours or days, may appear when they are under stress. However, the main emotional trait is affective dysregulation - the inability to postpone the emotional impulse that will bring relief, albeit only for a short time (Daley *et al.* 2000, Edwards *et al.* 2003). Rational cognitive evaluation of the situation, although sometimes arriving in time, is not capable of correcting dysfunctional emotionality. Although a person may realize that self-destructive action is an over-reaction, for example, on criticism (or rejection or even self-assessment during self-esteem), he still considers it appropriate (cognitive disturbance) at the time. Another tendency represents the excessive simplification of problem-solving in life that does not correspond with the intellect (Humbad *et al.* 2010). When having some problem they are often helpless, reacting abruptly or seeking for help (Ornduff & Kelsey 1996). Common problems mean "crisis" for them (Praško *et al.* 2003). The problems that trigger a high degree of tension, emotional lability or impulsivity could be everyday little interpersonal stresses, like disagreement with the partner, disapproval of a partner, critical remark, and lack of praise at the moment when the borderline personality is waiting for (Benjamin 2003, Edwards *et al.* 2003). People with borderline personality disorder do not feel sure about their relationship with the other people and therefore either create dependent and tight bounds or behave distantly and coldly (Young *et al.* 2003). They see other people either as good or bad. This polarization can change very quickly in the partners (Bouchard *et al.* 2009). Searching for empowerment leads these types of personality to cross the boundaries of the relationship and also test it. In a partnership relationship, they tend to cause irrational emotional outbursts and tend to behave randomly and cause conflicts (Daley *et al.* 2000).

Life with a borderline partner implies an empathic understanding of his/her fears of abandonment (Bouchard *et al.* 2009). They also need a clear boundary of what a partner can do for them and what he/she cannot. If the partner lets the borderline partner too close, he will soon be angry because the patient's need for proximity and attention is insensitive (Daley *et al.* 2000). Relative tendencies also arise in the relationship. Some women idealize their partners, perceive them unrealistically, and completely deny their negative aspects (Kernberg 1999). They often engage in passionate engagement, experience intense excitement, and

have no problems in achieving orgasm, but loving relationships cannot tolerate ambivalence (Humbad *et al.* 2010). The relationship object is therefore idealized or devalued. The unconscious desire for oral satisfaction through sexuality and the desire for an ideal relationship promotes escape to the early sexualization of all relationships (Kernberg 1999, Ornduff & Kelsey 1996, McNulty & Widman 2014). A person with a borderline personality disorder is unable to see others in their integrity with pros and cons, but they see the partners as absolutely perfect or very flawed. This perception often changes and is followed by maladaptive behavior. The individual experiences the states of emptiness changing with uncontrollable emotions and tensions (Daley *et al.* 2000). He/she blames the environment and, moreover, is unable to honor his/her obligations (Bouchard &Sabourin 2009). The partner never knows what to expect, what behavior will appear in the next few moments. For a while, he/she experiences the feeling of being loved; then he/she senses partner´s hatred. Nothing in between. Life with a borderline partner can be debilitating. The partner must be prepared for everything, including unpredictable and dramatic explosive scenes (Humbad *et al.* 2010).

Divorce is tolerated by the individuals with borderline personality disorder very poorly (Benjamin 2003). It reinforces their feelings of abandonment and loneliness (Edwards *et al.* 2003). They pledge to the partner, then they also go into suicide threats, and unfortunately, they often try to kill herself (Prasko *et al.* 2003). When it is a call for help, and when they mean to die because the emotional storm conceals the clarity of the decision. 10% eventually die by suicide. The partner then receives the letter that it happened on his/her conscience. Because of his/her fear of loneliness, an individual with an emotionally unstable personality can cling to children (Bouchard & Sabourin 2009). He/she wants to make sure he does not stay alone. A child could also replace a partner. They are trying to keep the kids to themselves never letting them to leave, creating an unhealthy dependent relationship with them. Often, they emotionally blackmail or punish the "evil" ex-partner with the children.

The Whisman & Schonbrunn research (2009) has demonstrated the link between borderline personality disorder and marital stress, violence and the break-up of the relationship. The severity of borderline symptoms correlated positively with marital stress, family violence, and marital breakdown. Borderline features predispose the partner to low satisfaction and higher verbal aggression in the relationship (McGlashan *et al.* 2005). Emotional instability and identity uncertainty predispose these individuals to problems in the marriage. It would cause difficulties in any marriage when one of the partners never knows how others will respond.

Paranoid personality disorder

The main feature of paranoid personality disorder is lifelong distrust in other people (APA 2013). These individuals are hypersensitive, rigid and suspicious, often subject to jealousy and envy (Beck *et al.* 2004). They are extremely sensitive to criticism, lack of interest, failure, alleged rejection or insult (ICD-10, 1996; APA 2013). The tendency to misunderstand the behavior of others as hostile or contemptuous is typical, even if they are neutral or even friendly. This often applies to a partner relationship. The excessive sensitivity to failures, criticism, disobedience to supposed insults and the tendency to stubbornly fight for their alleged rights is also typical (Bernstein *et al.* 1993). They often tend to blame others for their failure. They often misinterpret details that can be explained in other ways as evidence for their suspicions (Humbad *et al.* 2010). These people cannot forgive, they see humiliation in neutral reactions, they tend to respond hurtfully, and they feel hatred permanently. Their interpersonal relationships tend to be conflicting because they easily suspect their surroundings to have bad intentions and abuse them (Benjamin 2003).

When living with a partner, an individual with a paranoid personality disorder often shows interest in various subjects, for example, political opinions. He/she usually talks about it fascinatingly, and partner is fascinated by it. However, when someone opposes them, they can fiercely fight and defend themselves (Humbad *et al.* 2010). Gradually, in a partnership, there is a constant search for hidden motives and the expectation that the partner will behave unfairly. This leads to the rebuttal reactions of the other, which the paranoid individual in his convictions further confirms. They may suffer repeated unjustified suspicions as to the partner's sexual loyalty or deal with unsubstantiated "conspiracy" explanations of events around themselves or in the world at all (Humbad *et al.* 2010). In their living together, they try to document these alleged attempts at harm. They can become "detectives," check mobile phones, install cameras, and monitoring devices, watch their partners. The paranoid jealousy is the biggest problem.

Dissocial personality disorder

The basic characteristic of dissocial personality disorder is the long-term pattern of socially unethical behavior that reflects the lack of interest in the rights of others (Benjamin 2003, APA 2013). Because of their early childhood experience, they are characterized by their cruel indifference to the feelings of others, lack of compassion and empathy (Beck *et al.* 2004). In adulthood, they often show promiscuity, criminal behavior, manipulation, provocation, and abuse of others. This behavior cannot be sufficiently influenced by experience, including punishment (ICD-10 1996). Dissocial individuals have low frustration tolerance and a low threshold for aggression and violence (Dutton 1995). They have the problem to postpone pleasure or enjoy-

ment. Indifference to social rules and commitments, morals, customs, lack of emotion, and cold indifference to others are typical (Edwards *et al.* 2003). They do not feel guilty. All this can be significantly reflected in a partner relationship. They accuse the other of all failures and apologize and rationalize their adverse actions. A dissocial personality disorder is also often associated with addiction and abuse of addictive substances (Dinwiddie *et al.* 1992).

These individuals cannot maintain a lasting relationship, have very low frustration tolerance and a low threshold for aggression and violence (Dutton 1995). They let themselves to be cared for, and if the partner does not do it, they look for another partner to allow them (Burt *et al.* 2007).

At the beginning of the relationship, they can manage to disguise very well if they see the benefit for themselves (Humbad *et al.* 2010). However, if they do, they do not consider it necessary to fulfill their promises. They often lie, do not meet obligations and responsibilities, they are selfish. They do not care what will happen to the partner and to the children. They only occasionally come home to fulfill their needs. Sometimes, unfortunately, they also feel the need to release their anger, usually on their partner (Burt *et al.* 2007). They are explosive and react quickly and with aggression. They also spend time in jail after thefts and assaults, but because they are unable to learn from the consequences of their behavior or punishment, they continue their lifestyle after being released (Beck *et al.* 2004).

Men with antisocial personality are less likely to marry. Research conducted by Burt *et al.* (2010) in the US at 289 pairs of single male twins showed that only 59% were married at the age of 29 years. At the same time, the authors found that in married individuals, there was a lesser degree of antisocial behavior than in the twin brother. The theory explained this that men with a lower degree of antisocial behavior are more likely to marry than others. At the same time, marriage is likely to contribute to reducing the male's antisocial behavior. Research on a sample of 475 problem boys tracking their development from adolescence to adulthood, found that marriage had contributed to a 35% decline in criminal activity (Sampson *et al.* 2006). Also, another study has shown that marriage is involved in the decrease of criminal behavior (Horney *et al.* 1995).

CONCLUSION

Although there is a lack of studies to assess individual interactions and problems of individuals with a personality disorder, and partly because of the specific counseling and clinical experience of experts, understanding partner relationships in personality disorders is an important area. Underestimation the influence of a personality disorder on a partner relationship, as well as the stigmatization of these individuals by a counselor, therapist or a counterpart, can significantly impair

the chance of creating and maintaining the quality of partnership, or even harming a person iatrogenically. However, for a better understanding of the topic of the relationship of individuals with problematical personality traits in the way of personality disorder, controlled studies are needed. Otherwise, we might over-estimate unique problems and make undesirable generalizations.

REFERENCES

1 American Psychiatric Association (2003). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
2 Beck AT, Freeman A, Davis DD (2004). Cognitive therapy of personality disorders. New York: Guilford.
3 Benjamin LS (2003). Interpersonal reconstructive therapy: Promoting change in nonresponders. New York: Guilford.
4 Bernstein DP, Useda D, Siever LJ (1993). Paranoid personality disorder: a review of its current status. J Personal Dis. **7**: 53-62.
5 Bouchard S, Sabourin S (2009). Relationship quality and stability in couples when one partner suffers from borderline personality disorder; Journal of Marital and Family Therapy. **35**: 446-455
6 Burt SA, Donnellan MB, Humbad MN, Hicks BM, McGue M, Iacono WG (2010). Does marriage inhibit antisocial behavior?: An examination of selection vs causation via a longitudinal twin design. Arch Gen Psychiatry. **67**(12): 1309-1315.
7 Burt SA, Carter LA, McGue M, Iacono WG (2007). The different origins of stability and change in antisocial personality disorder symptoms. Psychological Medicine. **37**: 27–38.
8 Buss DM, Shackelford TK (1997). Susceptibility to infidelity in the first year of marriage. Journal of Research in Personality. **31**: 193-221
9 Campbell L, Kashy DA (2002). Estimating actor, partner, and interaction effects for dyadic data using PROC MIXED and HLM: A user-friendly guide. Personal Relationships. **9**: 327–342.
10 Daley SE, Burge D, Hammen C (2000). Borderline personality disorder symptoms as predictors of 4-year romantic relationship dysfunction in young women: Addressing issues of specificity. Journal of Abnormal Psychology. **109**: 451–460.
11 Dewall CN, Lambert NM, Slotter EB, Pond RS, Deckman T, Finkel EJ, Luchies LB, Fincham FD (2011). So far away from one's partner, yet so close to romantic alternatives: avoidant attachment, interest in alternatives, and infidelity. J Pers Soc Psychol. **101**(6): 1302-1316.
12 Dinwiddie SH, Reich T, Cloninger CR (1992). Psychiatric comorbidity and suicidality among intravenous drug users. J Clin Psychiatry. **53**(10): 364-369.
13 American Psychiatric Association (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Ed. DSM-5. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association.
14 Dutton DG (1995). Intimate abusiveness. Clinical Psychology: Science & Practice. **2**: 207–224.
15 Edwards DW, Scott CL, Yarvis RM, Paizis CL, Panizzon MS (2003). Impulsiveness, impulsive aggression, personality disorder, and spousal violence. Violence & Victims. **18**: 3–14.
16 Ehrensaft M, Cohen P, Johnson JG (2006). Development of personality disorder symptoms and the risk for partner violence. Journal of Abnormal Psychology. **115**: 474–483.
17 Estergerg ML, Goulding SM, Walker EF (2010). A Personality disorders: schizotypal, schizoid and paranoid personality disorders in childhood and adolescence. J Psychopathol Behav Assess. **32**(4): 515-528.
18 Fonagy P & Luyten P (2012). Psychodynamic models of personality disorders. In Widiger TA (ed.) The Oxford Handbook of Personality Disorders. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 345–372.
19 Funder DC (2001). Personality. Annual Review of Psychology. **52**197-221
20 Goldman GS (1956). Reparative psychotherapy. In: Rado S, Daniels GE (Eds): Changing Concepts of Psychoanalytical Medicine. New York, Grune & Stratton: 101-113.

21 Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Chou SP, Ruan WJ, Pickering RP (2004). Prevalence correlates, and disability of personality disorders in the United States: results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. J Clin Psychiatry. **65**(7): 948-958.
22 Chen H, Cohen P, Johnson JG, Kasen S, Sneed JR, Crawford TN (2004). Adolescent personality disorders and conflict with romantic partners during the transition to adulthood. J Pers Disord. **18**(6): 507-25.
23 Hess J. (2008). Marital Satisfaction and Parental Stress. Utah: State University.
24 Horney JD, Osgood W, Marshall IH (1995). Criminal careers in the short term: Intra-individual variability in crime and its relation to life circumstances. American Sociological Review. **60**: 655–673
25 Humbad Donnellan MB, Iacono WG, Burt SA (2010). The association of marital quality with personality and psychopathology. Journal of Abnormal Psychology. **119**: 151-162
26 Johnson JG, Chen H, Cohen P (2004). Personality disorder traits during adolescence and relationships with family members during the transition to adulthood. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. **72**: 923-932
27 Karney BR, Bradbury TN (1995). The longitudinal course of marital quality and stability. Psychological Bulletin **118**: 3-34
28 Kernberg OF, Clarkin JF (1995). The Inventory of Personality Organization, White Plains, NY.
29 Kernberg OF (1992). Love relations: Normality and Pathology, New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
30 Kernberg OF (1999). Normální a patologická láska. Portál, Praha.
31 Klimeš J (2005). Partneři a rozchody. Portál.
32 Koenigsberg HW, Kaplan RD, Gilmore MM, Cooper AM (1985). The relationship between syndrome and personality disorder in DSM-III: experience with 2.462 patients. Am J Psychiatry. **142**(2): 207-212.
33 Koteková R, Šimová E, Gecková A (1998). Psychológia rodiny. Michalovce: Pegas.
34 Kratochvíl S (2000). Manželská terapie. Portál, Praha.
35 Látalová K, Kamarádová D, Praško J (2013). Komplikované truchlení a jeho léčba. Psychiatrie. **17**(4): 181-188.
36 Lenzenweger MF, Clarkin JF, Kernberg O, Foelsch PA (2001). The Inventory of Personality Organization. Psychological Assessment. **13**: 577-591
37 Lenzenweger MF, Loranger AW, Korfine L, Neff C (1997). Detecting personality disorders in a nonclinical population. Application of a 2-stage procedure for case identification. Arch Gen Psychiatry. **54**(4): 345-351.
38 Linehan MM, Dexter-Mazza ET (2008). Dialectical behavior therapy for borderline personality disorder. Clinical Handbook of Psychological Disorders. NY, US: Guilford Press: 365-420
39 Loranger AW (1990). The impact of DSM-III on diagnostic practice in a university hospital. Arch Gen Psychiatry. **47**: 672-675.
40 Malinow KL (1981). Passive-aggressive personality. In: Lion JR (ed.): Personality Disorders: Diagnosis and Management. 2nd edition. Williams & Wilkins: 121-132.
41 McGlashan TH, Grilo CM, Sanislow CA, Ralevski E, Morey LC, Gunderson JG, Skodol AE, Shea MT, Zanarini MC, Bender D, Stout RL, Yen S, Pagano M (2005). Two-year prevalence and stability of individual DSM-IV criteria for schizotypal, borderline, avoidant, and obsessive-compulsive personality disorders: toward a hybrid model of axis II disorders. Am J Psychiatry. **162**(5): 883-889.
42 McNulty JK, Widman L (2014). Sexual narcissism, and infidelity in early marriage. Arch Sex Behavior. **42**: 1021-1032
43 McNulty JK, Widman L (2011). The implications of sexual narcissism for sexual and marital satisfaction. Achieves of Sexual Behavior. **42**: 1021-1032.
44 Mezinárodní klasifikace nemocí – 10. Revize (1996). MKN-10 (1. vydání); Maxdorf Praha.
45 Modell AH (1975). A narcissistic defense against and the illusion of self-sufficiency. Int J Psychoanal. **56**: 275-282.
46 Norman WT (1969). Relations among self-perceptions, peer perceptions, and expected peer- perceptions of personality attributes. Multivariate Behavioral Research. **4**: 417-443
47 Norton K (1992). Health of the nation: personality disorders. British Medical Journal. **304**: 255-256.

48 Oltmanns TF, Friedman JN, Fiedler ER, Turkheimer E (2004). Perceptions of people with personality disorders based on thin slices of behavior. Journal of Research in Personality. **38**: 216–229.

49 Ornduff SR, Kelsey RM (1996). Object relations of sexually and physically abused female children: A TAT analysis, Journal of Personality Assessment. **66** (1): 91- 105.

50 Pagano ME, Skodol AE, Stout RL, Shea MT, Yen S, Grilo CM, Sanislow CA, Bender DS, McGlashan TH, Zanarini MC, Gunderson JG (2004). Stressful life events as predictors of functioning: Findings from the Collaborative Longitudinal Personality Disorders Study. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica. **110**: 421–429.

51 Polák A (2013). Dynamická neurozológia. Psyche Educa, Bratislava.

52 Prasko J, Houbová P, Novák T, Záleský R, Espa-Cervená K, Pasková B, Vyskocilová J (2005). Influence of personality disorder on the treatment of panic disorder-comparison study. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. **26**(6): 667-674.

53 Praško J, Herman E, Horáček J, Houbová P, Kosová J, Možný P, Pašková B, Preiss M, Šlepecký M (2003). Poruchy osobnosti. Praha: Portál.

54 Robins RW, Caspi A, Moffitt TE (2002). It's not just who you are with; it is who you are: Personality and relationship experiences across multiple relationships. Journal of Personality. **70**: 925–964.

55 Robins RW, Caspi A, Moffitt TE (2000). Two personalities, one relationship: both partners' personality traits shape the quality of their relationship. J Pers Soc Psychol. **79**(2): 251-259.

56 Sampson RJ, Laub JH, Wimer C (2006). Does marriage reduce crime? A counterfactual approach to within-individual causal effects. Criminology. **44**: 465–508.

57 Samuels J, Eaton WW, Bienvenu OJ 3rd, Brown CH, Costa PT Jr, Nestadt G (2002). Prevalence and correlates of personality disorders in a community sample. Br J Psychiatry. **180**: 536-542.

58 Simpson JA, Gangestad SW (1991). Individual differences in sociosexuality: evidence for convergent and discriminant validity. J Pers Soc Psychol. **60**(6): 870-83.

59 South SC, Turkheimer E, Oltmanns TF (2008). Personality disorder symptoms and marital functioning. Consult of Clinical Psychology. **76**: 769-780

60 Terman LM, Buttenwieser P, Ferguson LW, Johnson WB, Wilson DP. Psychological factors in marital happiness. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1938.

61 Tweed R & Dutton DG (1998). A comparison of impulsive and instrumental subgroups of batterers. Violence and Victims. **13**: 217–230.

62 Verreault M, Sabourin S, Lussier Y, Normandin L, Clarkin JF (3013). Assessment of personality organization in couple relationships: factorial structure of the inventory of personality organization and incremental validity over neuroticism. J Pers Assess. **95** (1): 85-95.

63 Vyskocilova J, Prasko J, Novak T, Pohlova L (2011). Is there any influence of personality disorder on the short term intensive group cognitive behavioral therapy for social phobia? Biomed Pap. **155**(1): 85–94.

64 Watson D, Clark LA, Chmielewski M (2008). Structures of personality and their relevance to psychopathology: II. Further articulation of a comprehensive unified trait structure. J Pers. **76**(6): 1545-1586.

65 Weissman MM (1993). The epidemiology of personality disorders: a 1990 update. Journal of Personality Disorders. **7**: 44-62.

66 Whisman MA & Schonbrun YC (2009). Social consequences of borderline personality disorder symptoms in a population-based survey: marital distress, marital violence, and marital disruption. J Pers Disord. **23**(4): 410-415.

67 Widiger TA, Livesley WJ, Clark LA (2009). An integrative dimensional classification of personality disorder. Psychological Assessment. **21**(3): 243–255.

68 Wilson TD (2002). Strangers to ourselves: Discovering the adaptive unconscious. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

69 Young JE, Klosko JS, Weishaar ME (2003). Schema therapy: A practitioner's guide. Guilford Press, New York.